

Real holomorphy rings in function fields and their units

Katarzyna Kuhlmann
(joint work with E. Becker and F.-V. Kuhlmann)

Twentyfirst Colloquiumfest (Cutkoskyfest)

July 2019

17-th Hilbert Problem

Take $f \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with non-negative values. Are there $f_i \in \mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i^2$$

for some natural number k ?

17-th Hilbert Problem

Take $f \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with non-negative values. Are there $f_i \in \mathbb{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i^2$$

for some natural number k ?

Hilbert's 17th Problem was positively solved by E. Artin in 1927 by the theory of formally real fields.

Schülting's Problem (1987)

Schülting's Problem

Let $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ be of the same degree and without real zeros. Assume that $\frac{f}{g}$ is positive definite. Are there $f_i, g_i \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ without real zeros with $\deg f_i = \deg g_i$ such that

$$\frac{f}{g} = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{f_i}{g_i} \right)^2$$

for some natural number k ?

Artin's solution for function fields

F - a formally real function field over a real closed field R

X - any smooth projective model of F

$X(R)$ - the set of rational points of X

X_f - the set of rational points in which f is defined

Artin's solution for function fields

F - a formally real function field over a real closed field R

X - any smooth projective model of F

$X(R)$ - the set of rational points of X

X_f - the set of rational points in which f is defined

Artin's Solution: $f \in \sum F^2 \Leftrightarrow f(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in X_f$

Artin's solution for function fields

F - a formally real function field over a real closed field R

X - any smooth projective model of F

$X(R)$ - the set of rational points of X

X_f - the set of rational points in which f is defined

Artin's Solution: $f \in \sum F^2 \Leftrightarrow f(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in X_f$

Can the real holomorphy ring of F be characterized in a similar way?

F – a formally real field

P – an ordering of F

$A(P)$ – the convex hull of \mathbb{Q} in F with respect to P

$I(P)$ – the set of infinitesimals in F with respect to P

F – a formally real field

P – an ordering of F

$A(P)$ – the convex hull of \mathbb{Q} in F with respect to P

$I(P)$ – the set of infinitesimals in F with respect to P

- The set $A(P)$ is a valuation ring in F and $I(P)$ is its maximal ideal.

F – a formally real field

P – an ordering of F

$A(P)$ – the convex hull of \mathbb{Q} in F with respect to P

$I(P)$ – the set of infinitesimals in F with respect to P

- The set $A(P)$ is a valuation ring in F and $I(P)$ is its maximal ideal.
- If $A(P) = F$, then we say that the ordering P is **archimedean**.

F – a formally real field

P – an ordering of F

$A(P)$ – the convex hull of \mathbb{Q} in F with respect to P

$I(P)$ – the set of infinitesimals in F with respect to P

- The set $A(P)$ is a valuation ring in F and $I(P)$ is its maximal ideal.
- If $A(P) = F$, then we say that the ordering P is **archimedean**.
- The ordering induced by P on the residue field $A(P)/I(P)$ is archimedean, therefore there is an embedding

$$\iota : A(P)/I(P) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

F – a formally real field

P – an ordering of F

$A(P)$ – the convex hull of \mathbb{Q} in F with respect to P

$I(P)$ – the set of infinitesimals in F with respect to P

- The set $A(P)$ is a valuation ring in F and $I(P)$ is its maximal ideal.
- If $A(P) = F$, then we say that the ordering P is **archimedean**.
- The ordering induced by P on the residue field $A(P)/I(P)$ is archimedean, therefore there is an embedding

$$\iota : A(P)/I(P) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

- The composition of the residue map with ι gives a real place of F (\mathbb{R} -place).

F – a formally real field

P – an ordering of F

$A(P)$ – the convex hull of \mathbb{Q} in F with respect to P

$I(P)$ – the set of infinitesimals in F with respect to P

- The set $A(P)$ is a valuation ring in F and $I(P)$ is its maximal ideal.
- If $A(P) = F$, then we say that the ordering P is **archimedean**.
- The ordering induced by P on the residue field $A(P)/I(P)$ is archimedean, therefore there is an embedding

$$\iota : A(P)/I(P) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

- The composition of the residue map with ι gives a real place of F (\mathbb{R} -place).
- The Baer-Krull Theorem says that every \mathbb{R} -place can be obtained in this way.

The space of orderings

$\mathcal{X}(F)$ – the set of all orderings of a field F .

The space of orderings

$\mathcal{X}(F)$ – the set of all orderings of a field F .

$\mathcal{X}(F)$ is a topological space with a subbasis of Harrison sets:

$$H(a) := \{P \in \mathcal{X}(F) : a \in P\}, \quad a \in \dot{F} = F \setminus \{0\}.$$

The space of orderings

$\mathcal{X}(F)$ – the set of all orderings of a field F .

$\mathcal{X}(F)$ is a topological space with a subbasis of Harrison sets:

$$H(a) := \{P \in \mathcal{X}(F) : a \in P\}, \quad a \in \dot{F} = F \setminus \{0\}.$$

Properties of $\mathcal{X}(F)$:

- compact,

The space of orderings

$\mathcal{X}(F)$ – the set of all orderings of a field F .

$\mathcal{X}(F)$ is a topological space with a subbasis of Harrison sets:

$$H(a) := \{P \in \mathcal{X}(F) : a \in P\}, \quad a \in \dot{F} = F \setminus \{0\}.$$

Properties of $\mathcal{X}(F)$:

- compact,
- Hausdorff,

The space of orderings

$\mathcal{X}(F)$ – the set of all orderings of a field F .

$\mathcal{X}(F)$ is a topological space with a subbasis of Harrison sets:

$$H(a) := \{P \in \mathcal{X}(F) : a \in P\}, \quad a \in \dot{F} = F \setminus \{0\}.$$

Properties of $\mathcal{X}(F)$:

- compact,
- Hausdorff,
- totally disconnected.

The topology on $M(F)$

$M(F)$ - the set of all \mathbb{R} -places of F .

The topology on $M(F)$

$M(F)$ - the set of all \mathbb{R} -places of F .

The map:

$$\mathcal{X}(F) \ni P \mapsto \zeta_P \in M(F)$$

is onto, so we consider the quotient topology on $M(F)$ which is (D.W. Dubois, 1970):

The topology on $M(F)$

$M(F)$ - the set of all \mathbb{R} -places of F .

The map:

$$\mathcal{X}(F) \ni P \mapsto \zeta_P \in M(F)$$

is onto, so we consider the quotient topology on $M(F)$ which is (D.W. Dubois, 1970):

- compact,

The topology on $M(F)$

$M(F)$ - the set of all \mathbb{R} -places of F .

The map:

$$\mathcal{X}(F) \ni P \mapsto \zeta_P \in M(F)$$

is onto, so we consider the quotient topology on $M(F)$ which is (D.W. Dubois, 1970):

- compact,
- Hausdorff.

Orderings and \mathbb{R} -places of $\mathbb{R}(X)$

$$P_{+\infty} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid \text{lc}(f) \cdot \text{lc}(g) > 0 \right\}$$

$$P_{-\infty} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid (-1)^{\deg f - \deg g} \cdot \text{lc}(f) \cdot \text{lc}(g) > 0 \right\}$$

$$P_{a^+} = \left\{ (x - a)^k \frac{f_1}{g_1} \mid f_1(a)g_1(a) > 0 \right\}$$

$$P_{a^-} = \left\{ (x - a)^k \frac{f_1}{g_1} \mid (-1)^k f_1(a)g_1(a) > 0 \right\}$$

Orderings and \mathbb{R} -places of $\mathbb{R}(X)$

$$P_{+\infty} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid \text{lc}(f) \cdot \text{lc}(g) > 0 \right\}$$

$$P_{-\infty} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid (-1)^{\deg f - \deg g} \cdot \text{lc}(f) \cdot \text{lc}(g) > 0 \right\}$$

$$P_{a^+} = \left\{ (x - a)^k \frac{f_1}{g_1} \mid f_1(a)g_1(a) > 0 \right\}$$

$$P_{a^-} = \left\{ (x - a)^k \frac{f_1}{g_1} \mid (-1)^k f_1(a)g_1(a) > 0 \right\}$$

$$M(\mathbb{R}(X)) \cong \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \cong S^1$$

Orderings and \mathbb{R} -places of $\mathbb{R}(X)$

$$P_{+\infty} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid \text{lc}(f) \cdot \text{lc}(g) > 0 \right\}$$

$$P_{-\infty} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid (-1)^{\deg f - \deg g} \cdot \text{lc}(f) \cdot \text{lc}(g) > 0 \right\}$$

$$P_{a^+} = \left\{ (x - a)^k \frac{f_1}{g_1} \mid f_1(a)g_1(a) > 0 \right\}$$

$$P_{a^-} = \left\{ (x - a)^k \frac{f_1}{g_1} \mid (-1)^k f_1(a)g_1(a) > 0 \right\}$$

$$M(\mathbb{R}(X)) \cong \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \cong S^1$$

If F is a function field over a totally archimedean field K with finite number of orderings and $\text{trdeg}(F/K) = 1$, then $M(F)$ is a disjoint finite union of circles (R. Brown 1980).

Orderings and \mathbb{R} -places of $R(X)$

R - a real closed field

Orderings and \mathbb{R} -places of $R(X)$

R - a real closed field

$C(R)$ - the set of all cuts in R

Orderings and \mathbb{R} -places of $R(X)$

R - a real closed field

$C(R)$ - the set of all cuts in R

$$\mathcal{X}(R(X)) \cong C(R)$$

Orderings and \mathbb{R} -places of $R(X)$

R - a real closed field

$C(R)$ - the set of all cuts in R

$$\mathcal{X}(R(X)) \cong C(R)$$

$(A, B) < (C, D)$ - cuts in R .

Corresponding orderings determine the same \mathbb{R} -place iff $B \cap C$ is a coset of a convex subgroup of R .

The space $M(R(X))$ is:

- connected,
- not metrizable,
- self-similar,
- of topological dimension 1.

Orderings and \mathbb{R} -places of $\mathbb{R}(X, Y)$

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}(X, Y)) \cong \dot{\bigcup} \{ \mathcal{X}(R(Y)) ; R \text{ real closure of } \mathbb{R}(X) \}.$$

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}(X, Y)) \cong \dot{\bigcup} \{ \mathcal{X}(R(Y)); R \text{ real closure of } \mathbb{R}(X) \}.$$

The space $M(\mathbb{R}(X, Y))$ is:

- path-connected (R. Brown, J. Merzel),
- not metrizable (M. Marshall, M. Machura, K.K.),
- of topological dimension 2 and cohomological dimension 1 (T. Banakh).

Theorem

Let F be a function field over a nonarchimedean real closed field R with natural valuation v_0 . Take $P \in \mathcal{X}(F)$ and:

$$a_1, \dots, a_m \in P,$$

$$a_{m+1}, \dots, a_n \in I(P).$$

Then there is a rational place $\lambda : F \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$ such that

$$\lambda(a_i) > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$\lambda(a_i) \in \mathcal{I}(\dot{R}^2) \text{ for } i = m + 1, \dots, n.$$

The Key Theorem - proof

$t := (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ - a transcendence base of F over R .

$$F = R(t, y),$$

where y is algebraic over $R(t)$.

The Key Theorem - proof

$t := (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ - a transcendence base of F over R .

$$F = R(t, y),$$

where y is algebraic over $R(t)$.

$f \in R[t, Y]$ - an irreducible polynomial of y over $R[t]$.

The Key Theorem - proof

$t := (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ - a transcendence base of F over R .

$$F = R(t, y),$$

where y is algebraic over $R(t)$.

$f \in R[t, Y]$ - an irreducible polynomial of y over $R[t]$.

$$a_i = \frac{f_i(t, y)}{g_i(t)},$$

where $g_i(t) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The Key Theorem - proof

$t := (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ - a transcendence base of F over R .

$$F = R(t, y),$$

where y is algebraic over $R(t)$.

$f \in R[t, Y]$ - an irreducible polynomial of y over $R[t]$.

$$a_i = \frac{f_i(t, y)}{g_i(t)},$$

where $g_i(t) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

We obtain the following elementary sentences:

- $f(t, y) = 0$,
- $\frac{\delta f}{\delta Y}(t, y) \neq 0$,
- $g_i(t) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$,
- $f_i(t, y)g_i(t) \in P$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$,
- $v_P(f_i(t, y)) > v_P(g_i(t))$ for $i = m + 1, \dots, n$.

The Key Theorem - proof

Since R is nonarchimedean valued, we have that (R, \dot{R}^2, v_0) is existentially closed in (F, P, v_P) .

Since R is nonarchimedean valued, we have that (R, \dot{R}^2, v_0) is existentially closed in (F, P, v_P) . So there are $t' = (t'_1, \dots, t'_k) \in R^k$ and $y' \in R$ such that:

- $f(t', y') = 0$,
- $\frac{\delta f}{\delta Y}(t', y') \neq 0$,
- $g_i(t') \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$,
- $f_i(t', y')g_i(t') > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$,
- $v_0(f_i(t', y')) > v_0(g_i(t'))$ for $i = m + 1, \dots, n$.

The Key Theorem - proof

Consider the field $R(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ with some rational place
 $\lambda : R(X_1, \dots, X_k) \longrightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$.

The Key Theorem - proof

Consider the field $R(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ with some rational place $\lambda : R(X_1, \dots, X_k) \longrightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$.

Let (K, λ) be the henselization of $(R(X_1, \dots, X_k), \lambda)$.

The Key Theorem - proof

Consider the field $R(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ with some rational place $\lambda : R(X_1, \dots, X_k) \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$.

Let (K, λ) be the henselization of $(R(X_1, \dots, X_k), \lambda)$. Choose $t^* = (t_1^*, \dots, t_k^*) \in K^k$ such that t_1^*, \dots, t_k^* are algebraically independent and $v_\lambda(t_i' - t_i^*) > 0$.

The Key Theorem - proof

Consider the field $R(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ with some rational place $\lambda : R(X_1, \dots, X_k) \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$.

Let (K, λ) be the henselization of $(R(X_1, \dots, X_k), \lambda)$. Choose $t^* = (t_1^*, \dots, t_k^*) \in K^k$ such that t_1^*, \dots, t_k^* are algebraically independent and $v_\lambda(t_i' - t_i^*) > 0$.

Since K is henselian, the Implicit Function Theorem holds in K . Therefore the polynomial equation

$$f(t^*, Y) = 0$$

has a solution $y^* \in K$ such that $v_\lambda(y' - y^*) > 0$.

The Key Theorem - proof

Consider the field $R(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ with some rational place $\lambda : R(X_1, \dots, X_k) \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$.

Let (K, λ) be the henselization of $(R(X_1, \dots, X_k), \lambda)$. Choose $t^* = (t_1^*, \dots, t_k^*) \in K^k$ such that t_1^*, \dots, t_k^* are algebraically independent and $v_\lambda(t_i' - t_i^*) > 0$.

Since K is henselian, the Implicit Function Theorem holds in K . Therefore the polynomial equation

$$f(t^*, Y) = 0$$

has a solution $y^* \in K$ such that $v_\lambda(y' - y^*) > 0$.

Now we embed F in K by sending $t \mapsto t^*$ and $y \mapsto y^*$ and we identify F with its image in K . Then we restrict λ to F .

$M(F)$ — the space of \mathbb{R} -places of F

$M(F)$ – the space of \mathbb{R} -places of F

$M(F|R)$ – the set of rational places of F which are identity on R

$M(F)$ – the space of \mathbb{R} -places of F

$M(F|R)$ – the set of rational places of F which are identity on R

$$M_R(F) := \{ \zeta \in M(F) \mid \exists \lambda : F \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}; \zeta = \zeta_R \circ \lambda \}$$

The real holomorphy ring of F

$$H(F) = \bigcap \{V : | V \text{ real valuation ring of } F\}$$

The real holomorphy ring of F

$$H(F) = \bigcap \{V : | V \text{ real valuation ring of } F\}$$

$$H(F) = \bigcap \{V_{\xi} : \xi \in M(F)\}$$

Basis for the topology of $M(F)$:

$$U(f_1, \dots, f_n) := \{\xi \in M(F) \mid \xi(f_i) > 0\}, \quad f_i \in H(F)$$

Basis for the topology of $M(F)$:

$$U(f_1, \dots, f_n) := \{\zeta \in M(F) \mid \zeta(f_i) > 0\}, \quad f_i \in H(F)$$

Assume that for some $\zeta \in M(F)$ and positive rationals q_1 and q_2 we have

$$q_1 <_{\zeta} f_i <_{\zeta} q_2 .$$

Basis for the topology of $M(F)$:

$$U(f_1, \dots, f_n) := \{\zeta \in M(F) \mid \zeta(f_i) > 0\}, \quad f_i \in H(F)$$

Assume that for some $\zeta \in M(F)$ and positive rationals q_1 and q_2 we have

$$q_1 <_{\zeta} f_i <_{\zeta} q_2 .$$

There is $\lambda : F \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$ such that

$$q_1 < \lambda(f_i) < q_2 .$$

Basis for the topology of $M(F)$:

$$U(f_1, \dots, f_n) := \{\zeta \in M(F) \mid \zeta(f_i) > 0\}, \quad f_i \in H(F)$$

Assume that for some $\zeta \in M(F)$ and positive rationals q_1 and q_2 we have

$$q_1 <_{\zeta} f_i <_{\zeta} q_2 .$$

There is $\lambda : F \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$ such that

$$q_1 < \lambda(f_i) < q_2 .$$

Therefore

$$q_1 \leq \zeta_R \circ \lambda(f_i) \leq q_2 ,$$

which shows that $\zeta_R \circ \lambda$ is in $U(f_1, \dots, f_n)$.

Proposition

$M_R(F)$ is dense in $M(F)$.

The relative real holomorphy ring $H(F|R)$ and topology on $M(F|R)$

$$H(F|R) := \bigcap \{ V \mid V \text{ real valuation ring of } F \text{ which contains } R \}$$

The relative real holomorphy ring $H(F|R)$ and topology on $M(F|R)$

$$H(F|R) := \bigcap \{ V \mid V \text{ real valuation ring of } F \text{ which contains } R \}$$

Basis for the Euclidean topology of $M(F|R)$:

$$U(f_1, \dots, f_n) := \{ \lambda \in M(F|R) \mid \lambda(f_i) > 0 \}, \quad f_i \in H(F|R)$$

Consider the mapping

$$M(F|R) \ni \lambda \rightarrow \zeta_R \circ \lambda \in M_R(F)$$

Consider the mapping

$$M(F|R) \ni \lambda \rightarrow \zeta_R \circ \lambda \in M_R(F)$$

Proposition

1) *The mapping defined above is a continuous bijection.*

Consider the mapping

$$M(F|R) \ni \lambda \rightarrow \zeta_R \circ \lambda \in M_R(F)$$

Proposition

- 1) *The mapping defined above is a continuous bijection.*
- 2) *The topology induced on $M_R(F)$ via this bijection is equal to the subspace topology of $M_R(F) \subset M(F)$, i.e., the bijection is a topological embedding of $M(F|R)$ in $M(F)$.*

Consider the mapping

$$M(F|R) \ni \lambda \rightarrow \zeta_R \circ \lambda \in M_R(F)$$

Proposition

- 1) *The mapping defined above is a continuous bijection.*
- 2) *The topology induced on $M_R(F)$ via this bijection is equal to the subspace topology of $M_R(F) \subset M(F)$, i.e., the bijection is a topological embedding of $M(F|R)$ in $M(F)$.*
- 3) *All nonempty open sets in $M(F|R)$ and in $M(F)$ contain infinitely many places, i.e., the spaces $M(F|R)$ and $M(F)$ do not have isolated points.*

Theorem (H.W. Schülting)

Let F be a function field over \mathbb{R} and let D be a set of real valuations of F . The following statements are equivalent:

- 1) For every regular projective model X of F and every point $x \in X$ there exists a valuation $v \in D$ with center x ,*
- 2) $H(F) = H(F|\mathbb{R}) = \bigcap_{v \in D} \mathcal{O}_v$.*

Theorem (H.W. Schülting)

Let F be a function field over \mathbb{R} and let D be a set of real valuations of F . The following statements are equivalent:

- 1) For every regular projective model X of F and every point $x \in X$ there exists a valuation $v \in D$ with center x ,
- 2) $H(F) = H(F|\mathbb{R}) = \bigcap_{v \in D} \mathcal{O}_v$.

Proposition (H.W. Schülting)

There exists a minimal class D of real valuations such that

$$H(F) = \bigcap_{v \in D} \mathcal{O}_v$$

if and only if $\text{tr.deg}(F|\mathbb{R}) = 1$.

A characterization of $H(F)$

Proposition

Let F be a function field over a real closed field R . Then the real holomorphy ring $H(F)$ is the intersection of the valuation rings of \mathbb{R} -places belonging to $M_R(F)$:

$$H(F) = \bigcap_{\xi \in M_R(F)} \mathcal{O}_\xi.$$

A characterization of $H(F|B)$

$$H(F|B) := \bigcap \{ \mathcal{O} \subseteq F \mid \mathcal{O} \text{ real valuation ring with } B \subseteq \mathcal{O} \}.$$

Note that $H(F) = H(F|H(R))$.

A characterization of $H(F|B)$

$$H(F|B) := \bigcap \{ \mathcal{O} \subseteq F \mid \mathcal{O} \text{ real valuation ring with } B \subseteq \mathcal{O} \}.$$

Note that $H(F) = H(F|H(R))$.

Proposition

For every real valuation ring $B \subseteq R$, we have

$$H(F|B) = H(F).B = \bigcap_{\lambda \in M(F|R)} \mathcal{O}_{\pi_B \circ \lambda}.$$

Theorem

Let B, C be real valuation rings of R such that $B \subsetneq C$. Then:

1) $H(F|B) \subsetneq H(F|C)$;

2) the following statements are equivalent for each subset \mathcal{F} of $M(F|R)$:

(a) $H(F|B) = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{O}_{\pi_B \circ \lambda}$,

(b) \mathcal{F} is dense in $M(F|R)$;

3) There is no representation of the form (a) with minimal \mathcal{F} .

A geometrical characterization of $H(F)$

X - any smooth projective model of F

$X(R)$ - the set of rational points of X

X_f - the set of rational points in which f is defined

$$f \in H(F) \Rightarrow f(x) \in H(R) \text{ for every } x \in X_f.$$

Define

$$H_X := \{f \in F \mid f(x) \in H(R) \text{ for every } x \in X_f\}.$$

X_f is Zariski-open, so we have:

$$H(F) \subseteq H_X \subseteq H(F|_R).$$

A geometrical characterization of $H(F)$

$$H(F) \subseteq \bigcap \{H_X \mid X \text{ smooth, real complete model of } F\}$$

A geometrical characterization of $H(F)$

$$H(F) \subseteq \bigcap \{H_X \mid X \text{ smooth, real complete model of } F\}$$

- Take f in the intersection

A geometrical characterization of $H(F)$

$$H(F) \subseteq \bigcap \{H_X \mid X \text{ smooth, real complete model of } F\}$$

- Take f in the intersection
- $f \in H(F|R)$, so $\lambda(f) \in R$ for every $\lambda \in M(F|R)$

A geometrical characterization of $H(F)$

$$H(F) \subseteq \bigcap \{H_X \mid X \text{ smooth, real complete model of } F\}$$

- Take f in the intersection
- $f \in H(F|R)$, so $\lambda(f) \in R$ for every $\lambda \in M(F|R)$
- There is a smooth real complete model X_0 such that f is regular in every point of $X_0(R)$

A geometrical characterization of $H(F)$

$$H(F) \subseteq \bigcap \{H_X \mid X \text{ smooth, real complete model of } F\}$$

- Take f in the intersection
- $f \in H(F|R)$, so $\lambda(f) \in R$ for every $\lambda \in M(F|R)$
- There is a smooth real complete model X_0 such that f is regular in every point of $X_0(R)$
- Take $\lambda \in M(F|R)$ and the center $c(\lambda)$ in $X_0(R)$

A geometrical characterization of $H(F)$

$$H(F) \subseteq \bigcap \{H_X \mid X \text{ smooth, real complete model of } F\}$$

- Take f in the intersection
- $f \in H(F|R)$, so $\lambda(f) \in R$ for every $\lambda \in M(F|R)$
- There is a smooth real complete model X_0 such that f is regular in every point of $X_0(R)$
- Take $\lambda \in M(F|R)$ and the center $c(\lambda)$ in $X_0(R)$
- $f(c(\lambda)) = \lambda(f) \in H(R)$

A geometrical characterization of $H(F)$

$$H(F) \subseteq \bigcap \{H_X \mid X \text{ smooth, real complete model of } F\}$$

- Take f in the intersection
- $f \in H(F|R)$, so $\lambda(f) \in R$ for every $\lambda \in M(F|R)$
- There is a smooth real complete model X_0 such that f is regular in every point of $X_0(R)$
- Take $\lambda \in M(F|R)$ and the center $c(\lambda)$ in $X_0(R)$
- $f(c(\lambda)) = \lambda(f) \in H(R)$
- $\xi_R \circ \lambda(f) \neq \infty$

A geometrical characterization of $H(F)$

$$H(F) \subseteq \bigcap \{H_X \mid X \text{ smooth, real complete model of } F\}$$

- Take f in the intersection
- $f \in H(F|R)$, so $\lambda(f) \in R$ for every $\lambda \in M(F|R)$
- There is a smooth real complete model X_0 such that f is regular in every point of $X_0(R)$
- Take $\lambda \in M(F|R)$ and the center $c(\lambda)$ in $X_0(R)$
- $f(c(\lambda)) = \lambda(f) \in H(R)$
- $\xi_R \circ \lambda(f) \neq \infty$
- $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\xi}$ for every $\xi \in M_R(F)$

A geometrical characterization of $H(F)$

$$H(F) \subseteq \bigcap \{H_X \mid X \text{ smooth, real complete model of } F\}$$

- Take f in the intersection
- $f \in H(F|R)$, so $\lambda(f) \in R$ for every $\lambda \in M(F|R)$
- There is a smooth real complete model X_0 such that f is regular in every point of $X_0(R)$
- Take $\lambda \in M(F|R)$ and the center $c(\lambda)$ in $X_0(R)$
- $f(c(\lambda)) = \lambda(f) \in H(R)$
- $\xi_R \circ \lambda(f) \neq \infty$
- $f \in \mathcal{O}_\xi$ for every $\xi \in M_R(F)$
- $f \in H(F)$.

A geometrical characterization of $H(F)$

Proposition

Take a function field F over a nonarchimedean real closed field R . Then $H(F)$ is the intersection of the sets H_X where X runs through all smooth, real complete models of F .

A geometrical characterization of $H(F)$

Proposition

Take a function field F over a nonarchimedean real closed field R . Then $H(F)$ is the intersection of the sets H_X where X runs through all smooth, real complete models of F .

The proposition above is not true for an archimedean real closed field R since in this case $H_X = F$ for every smooth real complete model X of F .

Totally positive units of the real holomorphy ring

$U(F)$ - the set of units of $H(F)$

$$U^+(F) := H(F) \cap \Sigma F^{*2}$$

Totally positive units of the real holomorphy ring

$U(F)$ - the set of units of $H(F)$

$$U^+(F) := H(F) \cap \Sigma F^{*2}$$

Example:

$$U^+(\mathbb{R}(X)) = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \in \mathbb{R}(X) : \deg f = \deg g \text{ and } f, g \in \Sigma \mathbb{R}[X]^2 \right\}$$

Totally positive units of the real holomorphy ring

$U(F)$ - the set of units of $H(F)$

$$U^+(F) := H(F) \cap \Sigma F^{*2}$$

Example:

$$U^+(\mathbb{R}(X)) = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \in \mathbb{R}(X) : \deg f = \deg g \text{ and } f, g \in \Sigma \mathbb{R}[X]^2 \right\}$$

$$M(\mathbb{Q}(X)) \cong M(\mathbb{R}(X))$$

Totally positive units of the real holomorphy ring

$U(F)$ - the set of units of $H(F)$

$$U^+(F) := H(F) \cap \Sigma F^{*2}$$

Example:

$$U^+(\mathbb{R}(X)) = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \in \mathbb{R}(X) : \deg f = \deg g \text{ and } f, g \in \Sigma \mathbb{R}[X]^2 \right\}$$

$$M(\mathbb{Q}(X)) \cong M(\mathbb{R}(X))$$

$$U^+(\mathbb{Q}(X)) = U^+(\mathbb{R}(X)) \cap \mathbb{Q}(X)$$

Schülting's Problem

Let $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ be of the same degree and without real zeros.

Assume that $\frac{f}{g}$ is positive definite. Are there $f_i, g_i \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ without real zeros with $\deg f_i = \deg g_i$ such that

$$\frac{f}{g} = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{f_i}{g_i} \right)^2$$

for some natural number k ?

Schülting's Problem

Let $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ be of the same degree and without real zeros. Assume that $\frac{f}{g}$ is positive definite. Are there $f_i, g_i \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ without real zeros with $\deg f_i = \deg g_i$ such that

$$\frac{f}{g} = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{f_i}{g_i} \right)^2$$

for some natural number k ?

Generalization of Schülting's Problem

Is every totally positive unit of the real holomorphy ring a sum of squares of totally positive units?

Schmid's solution of Schülting's Problem

The Pythagorean number $P(F)$ of a field F is the minimal natural number such that every element which is a sum of squares is a sum of (at most) $P(F)$ squares.

Theorem (Schmid 1994)

Let $a \in U^+(F)$. Then there exists a natural number $n \leq P(F)$ and elements $u_1, \dots, u_{n+1} \in U^+(F)$ such that

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} u_i^2.$$

Can we reduce $n + 1$ to n ?

If $P(F) = 1$ the hypothesis is true iff $M(F)$ is connected.

In 1994 Joachim Schmid gave a proof for the case $P(F) = 2$.

In 1994 Joachim Schmid gave a proof for the case $P(F) = 2$.

$$a \prec b : \Leftrightarrow b - a \in \Sigma \dot{F}^2.$$

In 1994 Joachim Schmid gave a proof for the case $P(F) = 2$.

$$a \prec b \Leftrightarrow b - a \in \Sigma \dot{F}^2.$$

Take $a, b \in U^+(F)$ such that $a \prec b$. Is there $y \in F$ such that

$$a \prec y^4 \prec b?$$

A counterexample

Take:

$$a = \left(\frac{X^2}{X^2+1}\right)^2 + 2^2 = \frac{5X^4 + 8X^2 + 4}{(X^2+1)^2} \in U^+(\mathbb{Q}(X))$$

$$b = \frac{5X^4 + 8X^2 + 4}{(X^2+1)^2} + \left(\frac{X^2}{X^2+1}\right)^2 = \frac{6X^4 + 8X^2 + 4}{(X^2+1)^2} \in U^+(\mathbb{Q}(X)).$$

A counterexample

Take:

$$a = \left(\frac{X^2}{X^2+1}\right)^2 + 2^2 = \frac{5X^4 + 8X^2 + 4}{(X^2+1)^2} \in U^+(\mathbb{Q}(X))$$

$$b = \frac{5X^4 + 8X^2 + 4}{(X^2+1)^2} + \left(\frac{X^2}{X^2+1}\right)^2 = \frac{6X^4 + 8X^2 + 4}{(X^2+1)^2} \in U^+(\mathbb{Q}(X)).$$

Take the X -adic place λ_0 .

A counterexample

Take:

$$a = \left(\frac{X^2}{X^2+1}\right)^2 + 2^2 = \frac{5X^4 + 8X^2 + 4}{(X^2+1)^2} \in U^+(\mathbb{Q}(X))$$

$$b = \frac{5X^4 + 8X^2 + 4}{(X^2+1)^2} + \left(\frac{X^2}{X^2+1}\right)^2 = \frac{6X^4 + 8X^2 + 4}{(X^2+1)^2} \in U^+(\mathbb{Q}(X)).$$

Take the X -adic place λ_0 .

Note that $\lambda_0(a) = \lambda_0(b) = 4$.

A counterexample

Assume that there exists $y \in U^+(\mathbb{Q}(X))$ such that

$$a \prec y^4 \prec b.$$

So, for every $P \in \mathcal{X}(F)$,

$$a <_P y^4 <_P b.$$

A counterexample

Assume that there exists $y \in U^+(\mathbb{Q}(X))$ such that

$$a \prec y^4 \prec b.$$

So, for every $P \in \mathcal{X}(F)$,

$$a <_P y^4 <_P b.$$

Then

A counterexample

Assume that there exists $y \in U^+(\mathbb{Q}(X))$ such that

$$a \prec y^4 \prec b.$$

So, for every $P \in \mathcal{X}(F)$,

$$a <_P y^4 <_P b.$$

Then

$$\lambda_0(a) \leq \lambda_0(y^4) \leq \lambda_0(b),$$

$$4 \leq \lambda_0(y^4) \leq 4.$$

Since $\lambda_0(y) \in \mathbb{Q}$ and

$$\lambda_0(y^4) = (\lambda_0(y))^4 = 4,$$

we obtain a contradiction.

Becker's approach

For $a \in H(F)$, the function

$$\hat{a} : M(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$\hat{a}(\zeta) = \zeta(a)$$

is continuous.

For $a \in H(F)$, the function

$$\hat{a} : M(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$\hat{a}(\zeta) = \zeta(a)$$

is continuous. The map

$$H(F) \rightarrow C(M(F), \mathbb{R}),$$

$$a \mapsto \hat{a}$$

is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra homomorphism with dense image (by the Stone-Weierstraß Theorem).

$$S^n(H(F)) := \{(a_0, \dots, a_n) \in F^{n+1} \mid \sum a_i^2 = 1\}$$

For $a = (a_0, \dots, a_n) \in S^n(H(F))$ consider the function

$$\hat{a}: M(F) \longrightarrow S^n,$$

$$\hat{a}(\xi) = (\xi(a_0), \dots, \xi(a_n)).$$

The function \hat{a} is continuous.

$$\Phi : S^n(H(F)) \longrightarrow C(M(F), S^n)$$
$$\Phi(a) = \hat{a}$$

Theorem (Becker)

The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 $\overline{S^{n-1}(H(F))} = C(M(F), S^{n-1}),$
- 2 if $a = a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 \in U^+(F) \Rightarrow a = b_1^2 + \dots + b_n^2$ for $b_i \in U^+(F).$

Becker's solution of Schülting's problem for $\mathbb{R}(X)$

- $M(\mathbb{R}(X)) \cong S^1$;
- $\overline{S^{n-1}(H)}$ contains all homotopy classes of \hat{a} , $a \in S^n(H)$;
- all homotopy classes of $C(S^1, S^1)$ are characterized by the degree of the functions;
- every continuous function $M(\mathbb{R}(X)) \rightarrow S^1$ is homotopic to \hat{f}^d , where $f = (\frac{2X}{X^2+1}, \frac{X^2-1}{X^2+1})$.

Open problem - making Schmid's proof complete

Let R be a real closed field. Take $f, g \in U^+(R(X))$ such that $f \prec g$. Is there some $h \in U^+(R(X))$ such that

$$f \prec h^4 \prec g?$$

Thank you very much for your attention!